

Arbitrary Accuracy Iterative Phase Estimation Algorithm as a Two Qubit Benchmark

Göran Johansson

*M. Dobšiček, G. J., V. S. Shumeiko,
and G. Wendin, quant-ph/0610214 (2006)*




QMFPA 2006, 4th of December, Bertinoro

Outline

- **Superconducting Quantum Circuits and Quantum Bits**
- **The Iterative Phase Estimation Algorithm**
 - 2-qubit Benchmark Implementations
 - Repeated Measurements and Fault Tolerance
- **Summary**


Quantum Mechanics and Electrical Circuits




$$L=10 \text{ nH}, C=1 \text{ pF} \Rightarrow \omega_0=1.6 \text{ GHz}$$

$$T=20 \text{ mK} \Rightarrow k_B T / \hbar \omega_0 = 0.15$$

(No dissipation)




Quantum Mechanics and Electrical Circuits



$$L=10 \text{ nH}, C=1 \text{ pF} \Rightarrow \omega_0=1.6 \text{ GHz}$$

$$T=20 \text{ mK} \Rightarrow k_B T / \hbar \omega_0 = 0.15$$





Non-trivial effects with non-linear circuit elements.
Josephson junction: non-linear

$$i(t) = \frac{1}{L} \Phi(t)$$


Inductor

$$i(t) = I_0 \sin \left[\frac{2e}{\hbar} \Phi_J(t) \right]$$

Josephson junction




Quantum Mechanics and Electrical Circuits



$$L=10 \text{ nH}, C=1 \text{ pF} \Rightarrow \omega_0=1.6 \text{ GHz}$$

$$T=20 \text{ mK} \Rightarrow k_B T / \hbar \omega_0 = 0.15$$





Non-trivial effects with non-linear circuit elements.
Josephson junction: non-linear and non-dissipative

$$i(t) = \frac{1}{L} \Phi(t)$$

Inductor

$$i(t) = I_0 \sin \left[\frac{2e}{\hbar} \Phi_J(t) \right]$$


Josephson junction



What about dissipation?

Quantum if level width is smaller than level splitting

$$Q > 1.$$





Superconductivity!

M. Devoret, Les Houches (1995)

"Quantum network theory", Yurke and Denker PRA (1984)

The Superconducting Charge Qubit



$$E_J \ll E_C$$

$$n_g = \frac{V_g C_g}{2e}$$

$$H = \sum_n \frac{4e^2(n - n_g)^2}{2(C_J + C_g)} |n\rangle\langle n| - \frac{1}{2} E_J (|n\rangle\langle n+1| + |n+1\rangle\langle n|)$$



M. Büttiker, PRB (1985)

A. Shnirman, G. Schön, and Z. Hermon, PRL (1997)

V. Bouchiat et al., Phys. Scr. (1998)

$|n\rangle$ is the state with n (extra) Cooper-pairs on the island

The Superconducting Charge Qubit



$$E_J \ll E_C$$


$$n_g = \frac{V_g C_g}{2e}$$

$$H = \sum_n \frac{4e^2(n - n_g)^2}{2(C_J + C_g)} |n\rangle\langle n| - \frac{1}{2} E_J (|n\rangle\langle n+1| + |n+1\rangle\langle n|)$$

- M. Büttiker, PRB (1985)
- A. Shnirman, G. Schön, and Z. Hermon, PRL (1997)
- V. Bouchiat et al., Phys. Scr. (1998)

Coherent control of macroscopic quantum states in a single-Cooper-pair box

Y. Nakamura[†], Yu. A. Pashkin[†] & J. S. Tsai[†]



NATURE | VOL 398 | 29 APRIL 1999

Superconducting qubits


Charge qubit

$$T_2 = 2 \text{ ns}, Q = 25$$

Coherent control of macroscopic quantum states in a single-Cooper-pair box

Y. Nakamura*, Yu. A. Pashkin† & J. S. Tsai*

NATURE | VOL 398 | 29 APRIL 1999 |



Superconducting qubits


Charge qubit

$T_2 = 2 \text{ ns}$, $Q = 25$


Coherent control of macroscopic quantum states in a single-Cooper-pair box

Y. Nakamura*, Yu. A. Pashkin† & J. S. Tsai*

NATURE | VOL 398 | 29 APRIL 1999



Phase Qubit




Rabi Oscillations in a Large Josephson-Junction Qubit

John M. Martinis, S. Nam, and J. Aumentado* C. Urbina†

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

9 SEPTEMBER 2002

$T_2 = 80 \text{ ns}$, $Q = 460$



Coherent Temporal Oscillations of Macroscopic Quantum States in a Josephson Junction

Yang Yu,¹ Siyuan Han,^{1*} Xi Chu,^{2†} Shih-I Chu,² Zhen Wang³

SCIENCE VOL 296 3 MAY 2002

Superconducting qubits


Charge qubit

$T_2 = 2 \text{ ns}$, $Q = 25$


Coherent control of macroscopic quantum states in a single-Cooper-pair box

Y. Nakamura*, Yu. A. Pashkin† & J. S. Tsai*

NATURE | VOL 398 | 29 APRIL 1999



Phase Qubit




Rabi Oscillations in a Large Josephson-Junction Qubit

John M. Martinis, S. Nam, and J. Aumentado* C. Urbina†

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

9 SEPTEMBER 2002

$T_2 = 80 \text{ ns}$, $Q = 460$




Coherent Temporal Oscillations of Macroscopic Quantum States in a Josephson Junction

Yang Yu,¹ Siyuan Han,^{1*} Xi Chu,^{2†} Shih-I Chu,² Zhen Wang³

SCIENCE VOL 296 3 MAY 2002

Flux qubit

$T_2 = 120 \text{ ns}$, $T_{\text{echo}} = 3.9 \mu\text{s}$,
 $Q = 23400$



Coherent Quantum Dynamics of a Superconducting Flux Qubit

I. Chiorescu,^{1*} Y. Nakamura,^{1,2} C. J. P. M. Harmans,¹ J. E. Mooij¹

SCIENCE VOL 299 21 MARCH 2003

$I_p = 0.57 \mu\text{A}$
Millions of electrons

Progress of Charge Qubit Readout

Single shot readout possible using the single-electron transistor (SET).


A. Aassime, G. J., G. Wendin, R. J. Schoelkopf, and P. Delsing, PRL (2001)

G. J., A. Käck, and G. Wendin, PRL (2002)

A. Käck, G. Wendin, and G. J. PRB (2003)

Charge qubits decohere quickly away from the degeneracy ("magic") point due to $1/f$ charge noise.

The SET measures charge.
Nothing to measure at degeneracy.



Single shot dispersive readout at degeneracy by capacitive coupling to a slow oscillator. (Quantum capacitance)

T. Duty, G. J., K. Bladh, D. Gunnarsson, C. Wilson, P. Delsing, PRL (2005)

G. J., L. Tornberg, V.S. Shumeiko and G. Wendin, JPCM (2006)

G. J., L. Tornberg, and C. Wilson, PRB(R) (2006)

L. Tornberg and G. J., JLTP (2006)

Superconducting 2-qubit systems

NATURE | VOL 425 | 30 OCTOBER 2003 |

SCIENCE VOL 313 8 SEPTEMBER 2006


Phase Qubits

Demonstration of conditional gate operation using superconducting charge qubits



T. Yamamoto^{1,2}, Yu. A. Pashkin^{2*}, O. Astafiev², Y. Nakamura^{1,2} & J. S. Tsai^{1,2}

Measurement of the Entanglement of Two Superconducting Qubits via State Tomography

Matthias Steffen,* M. Ansmann, Radoslaw C. Bialczak, N. Katz, Erik Lucero, R. McDermott, Matthew Neeley, E. M. Weig, A. N. Cleland, John M. Martinis†



Flux qubit CNOT-gate in Delft (!)



What 2-qubit experiments should be performed?

The phase estimation problem

$$\hat{U}|\Psi\rangle = e^{i2\pi\phi}|\Psi\rangle$$


Determine ϕ , for given unitary operator \hat{U} and eigenstate $|\Psi\rangle$.
 Natural and important problem suitable for quantum computing.
 \hat{U} can model a physical system Hamiltonian, ϕ can be $E_0 t/\hbar$.



Simulated Quantum Computation of Molecular Energies

Alán Aspuru-Guzik,^{1*†} Anthony D. Dutoi,^{1*} Peter J. Love,²
 Martin Head-Gordon^{1,3}

9 SEPTEMBER 2005 VOL 309 SCIENCE

Textbook (Nielsen & Chuang); use
 inverse Quantum Fourier Transform





Phase estimation using inverse Quantum Fourier Transform:


Obtain ϕ with n bits precision (accuracy $\sim 2\pi 2^{-n}$), where n is the number of ancilla qubits.

Experiment in 3-qubit NMR-system, \hat{U} 1-dim + 2 ancillary qubits (accuracy $\pi/2$)

Jae-Seung Lee, Jaehyun Kim, Yongwook Cheong, and Soonchil Lee

PHYSICAL REVIEW A **66**, 042316 (2002)

A single ancillary qubit: the Naive Approach




$$P_0 = \cos^2(\pi\phi)$$

Repeat N times \Rightarrow accuracy $\sim N^{-1/2}$
 n bits accuracy $\Rightarrow N \sim 2^{2n}$

Example: $\phi = 0.0110100101010000\dots$

A single ancillary qubit: the Naive Approach




$$P_0 = \cos^2(\pi\phi)$$

Repeat N times \Rightarrow accuracy $\sim N^{-1/2}$
 n bits accuracy $\Rightarrow N \sim 2^{2n}$

Example: $\phi = 0.0110100101010000\dots$

Following Kitaev (1996)



Accuracy $\sim 2^{-(n+2)}$ with probability $1-\epsilon$, using


$O(n \log n/\epsilon)$ repetitions.

Classical post-processing needed.

$$1 \leq k \leq n$$


Relies on an efficient implementation of U^{2^k} .

An iterated phase estimation algorithm with feed-back



$1 \leq k \leq n$, Example: $\phi = 0$. $\phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 \phi_5 0000\dots$ and $n=5$.


An iterated phase estimation algorithm with feed-back



$1 \leq k \leq n$, Example: $\phi = 0. \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 \phi_5 0000\dots$ and $n=5$.

Start from $k=5$: $P_0 = \cos^2(\pi \phi_5/2)$, so $x_5 = \phi_5$, deterministically.

An iterated phase estimation algorithm with feed-back




$1 \leq k \leq n$, Example: $\phi = 0. \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 \phi_5 0000\dots$ and $n=5$.

Start from $k=5$: $P_0 = \cos^2(\pi \phi_5/2)$, so $x_5 = \phi_5$, deterministically.

For $k=4$ the phase before feed-back: $2\pi (0.\phi_4 \phi_5)$

Use $\omega_4 = -2\pi (0.0x_5)$ to achieve $P_0 = \cos^2(\pi \phi_4/2)$, so $x_4 = \phi_4$, deterministically.

An iterated phase estimation algorithm with feed-back



$1 \leq k \leq n$, Example: $\phi = 0.\phi_1\phi_2\phi_3\phi_4\phi_50000\dots$ and $n=5$.

Start from $k=5$: $P_0 = \cos^2(\pi\phi_5/2)$, so $x_5 = \phi_5$, deterministically.

For $k=4$ the phase before feed-back: $2\pi(0.\phi_4\phi_5)$

Use $\omega_4 = -2\pi(0.0x_5)$ to achieve $P_0 = \cos^2(\pi\phi_4/2)$, so $x_4 = \phi_4$, deterministically.

Iteratively, using feed-back $\omega_k = -2\pi(0.0x_{k+1}x_{k+2}\dots x_n)$,
all m digits are extracted deterministically!


General phase

For general $\phi = 0$. $\phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \phi_{n-1} \phi_n + \delta 2^{n-1}$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$
 the success probability is $P(\delta) + P(1 - \delta)$, where

$$P(\delta) = \prod_{k=1}^m \cos^2(\pi 2^{k-m-1} \delta) = \frac{\sin^2(\pi \delta)}{2^{2m} \sin^2(\pi 2^{-m} \delta)}$$

(cmp. QFT)

Lower bound is $8/\pi^2 > 0.81$



General phase

For general $\phi = 0$. $\phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \phi_{n-1} \phi_n + \delta 2^{n-1}$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$
the success probability is $P(\delta) + P(1 - \delta)$, where



$$P(\delta) = \prod_{k=1}^m \cos^2(\pi 2^{k-m-1} \delta) = \frac{\sin^2(\pi \delta)}{2^{2m} \sin^2(\pi 2^{-m} \delta)}$$

(cmp. QFT)

Lower bound is $8/\pi^2 > 0.81$

The least significant bits contribute most to the error probability. The contribution decays exponentially.

$\log(1/\varepsilon)$ repeated measurements of the $\log(1/\varepsilon)$ least significant bits increase the success probability to $1 - \varepsilon$, *independently* of n .




2-qubit benchmark circuits


$$\hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

Simple to prepare the eigenstate $|0\rangle$.

An efficient implementation of U^{2^k} :




One realization of the whole circuit for X-X two-qubit coupling:




2-qubit circuit and fault tolerance

The whole circuit if the natural two-qubit coupling is ZZ:



2-qubit circuit and fault tolerance

The whole circuit if the natural two-qubit coupling is ZZ:




Simulate gate errors:

$$\varphi_i = \lambda_i T_i$$

$$\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi_i (1 + \delta\lambda_i / \lambda_i)$$


$$-\Delta/2 < \delta\lambda_i / \lambda_i < \Delta/2$$



$m=10$, no repetitions


Repeated measurements

- Errors due to finite remainder δ and gate errors.
- Both can be fought by repeating each iteration.
- Heuristic for approximating the optimal number of repetitions.




Repeated measurements

- Errors due to finite remainder δ and gate errors.
- Both can be fought by repeating each iteration.
- Heuristic for approximating the optimal number of repetitions.
- 10 digits with 95% probability for error level $\Delta=0.8$ using ~ 70 repetitions per bit. Independent errors.
- Still sensitive to static or slowly fluctuating errors.



Fight errors with repetitions
Error probability $\varepsilon < 0.05$

Summary




Fight errors with repetitions

Error probability $\varepsilon < 0.05$

- Superconducting qubits have reached the two-qubit stage
- Phase estimation is an important algorithm.
- Arbitrary accuracy with one ancillary qubit and one measurement per bit is possible
- High accuracy also with low (realistic) gate fidelities, using repeated measurements
- Useful as benchmark

M. Dobšiček, G. Johansson, V. S. Shumeiko, G. Wendin,
quant-ph/0610214 (2006)


The Cooper-pair box – A Superconducting Charge Qubit



$$E_J \ll E_C$$

$|n\rangle$ is the state with n
(extra) Cooper-pairs on
the island

$$H = \sum_n \frac{4e^2(n - n_g)^2}{2(C_J + C_g)} |n\rangle\langle n| - \frac{1}{2}E_J (|n\rangle\langle n+1| + |n+1\rangle\langle n|)$$





$$n_g = \frac{V_g C_g}{2e}$$

M. Büttiker, PRB (1985)

A. Shnirman, G. Schön, and Z. Hermon, PRL (1997)

V. Bouchiat et al., Phys. Scr. (1998)

Phase Qubit



Rabi Oscillations in a Large Josephson-Junction Qubit

John M. Martinis, S. Nam, and J. Aumentado* C. Urbina†

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

9 SEPTEMBER 2002

$T_2 = 80$ ns, $Q = 460$

Coherent Temporal Oscillations of Macroscopic Quantum States in a Josephson Junction

Yang Yu,¹ Siyuan Han,^{1*} Xi Chu,^{2†} Shih-I Chu,² Zhen Wang³



$T_2 = 5$ μ s,
 $Q = 78400$ (?)

SCIENCE VOL 296 3 MAY 2002

Charge Qubit in a Cavity

Strong coupling of a single photon to a superconducting qubit using circuit quantum electrodynamics

A. Wallraff¹, D. I. Schuster¹, A. Blais¹, L. Frunzio¹, R.-S. Huang^{1,2}, J. Majer¹, S. Kumar¹, S. M. Girvin¹ & R. J. Schoelkopf¹



$T_2 = 500$ ns,
 $Q = 6500$

NATURE | VOL 431 | 9 SEPTEMBER 2004

